

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2012



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/12-14

KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LVII വാല്യം 57	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	17th January 2012 2012 ജനുവരി 17 27th Pousha 1933 1933 പൗഷം 27	No. നമ്പർ	3
------------------------	---	---	--------------	---

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDER

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1861/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Kundara Ksheera Vyavasaya Co-operative Society Limited No. Q 88, Kundara P. O., Kollam-691 501 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri J. Pradeep Kumar, Rosh Bhavan, Nanthirakkal, Vellimon P. O., Perinad, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri J. Pradeep Kumar (Driver) by the management, i.e., President, Kundara Ksheera Vyavasaya Co-operative Society Limited No. Q 88 is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

R. SASIKUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government.

ORDER

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1880/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, M/s. Rado Tyres, Kothamangalam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. P. Subhash, Mundekudiyl Veedu, Ayirapuram P. O., Keezhillam-683 551 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the alleged denial of employment to Sri M. P. Subhash by the management of M/s. Rado Tyres, Kothamangalam is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,
Under Secretary to Government.

ORDERS

(1)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1881/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Cochin Minerals and Rutile Limited (CMRL), Edayar and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. N. Jayaraman, Pishari Kovil Variam, Eroor West, Thrippunithura in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether there is any denial of employment to Sri M. N. Jayaraman, Pooja Assistant, Cochin Minerals and Rutile Limited, Edayar, Aluva by the management ? If so, what are the reliefs the workman is entitled to?

(2)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1882/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri R. Murukan, Chairman, Mary Matha College of Engineering and Technology, Paliyode, Dalummukham P. O., Neyyattinkara, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. I. Ajin Mosses, Sabu Sadanam, Kuttikkad, Elluvila P. O.-695 504 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. I. Ajin Mosses, Library Assistant by the management is justifiable ? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1883/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Chairman, Mahatma Gandhi International Charities (Gandhi Bhavan), Pathanapuram, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri S. Raju, Ravi Vilasam Veedu, Padam P. O., Kalathoor via, Pathanamthitta in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri S. Raju by the management i.e. Chairman, Mahatma Gandhi International Charities is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1884/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, M.R.F. Limited, PB No. 2, Vadavathoor, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Thomas Chacko, Malippurath House, Mangottuchira, Pandangari P. O., Edathua, Alappuzha-689 721 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri Thomas Chacko, Worker, MRF Limited, Vadavathoor, Kottayam by the management is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1899/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, M/s. Rado Tyres, Kothamangalam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri C. R. Mohanadasan Nair, Chennattu Veedu, Ayirapuram P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the alleged denial of employment to Sri C. R. Mohanadasan Nair by the management of M/s. Rado Tyres, Kothamangalam is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1925/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th December 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Autocast Limited, S.N. Puram P. O., Cherthala-688 582 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Silk Employees Union (AITUC), S. N. Puram P. O., Cherthala-688 582, Reg. No. 03-24/1988, Autocast Unit in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of promotion of non ITI Workers to the post of Supervisor (Assistant Engineer) by the management of M/s. Autocast Limited, S. N. Puram, Cherthala is justifiable ? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

R. SASIKUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government.